RE CULTURE™

Human Experience Framework

Foundational Architecture

Version 1.2 | Authorized Controlled Correction — CC BY 4.0

GOVERNING PROPOSITION

Organizations Are Human Systems Before They Are Management Systems.

Founded, Conceived And Developed By Mazhar Ul Haq

Re Culture™ — A Human-Centered Digital Institution

First Published 27 July 2026 | Version 1.2 Correction 4 August 2026

Institutional Statement

This Document Establishes The First Formal Architecture Of The Re Culture™ Human Experience Framework. It Is A Foundational Institutional Instrument: A Shared Language For Understanding How Human Reality, Leadership Behaviour, Cultural Conditions, Organizational Systems, And People Capability Interact.

The Framework Does Not Replace Strategy, Governance, Performance Management, Or Accountability. It Repositions Them Inside A More Complete Understanding Of The Human System Through Which Every Institutional Intention Must Travel.

CONSTITUTIONAL POSITION

Human Experience Is Not A Programme Added To The Organization. It Is The Reality Already Being Produced By Every Decision, Relationship, System, And Daily Moment.

Original Purpose Of Version 1.0 (Retained In Version 1.2)

- Define The Re Culture™ Understanding Of Human Experience At Work.
- Establish A Coherent Architecture For Future Models, Research, Publications, And Practical Tools.
- Provide Leaders With A Disciplined Way To Interpret Human Reality Without Abandoning Standards.
- Create A Foundation For Testing, Evidence Development, Institutional Learning, And Future Validation.

Scope And Responsible Use

This Version 1.2 Controlled Correction Remains A Conceptual And Practice Framework. It Is Not Presented As A Clinically Validated Instrument, A Psychological Diagnostic, Or A Universal Formula. Its Value Must Be Developed Through Transparent Application, Reflection, Evidence, Independent Review, And Continuous Revision.

Why The Framework Exists

Organizations Commonly Understand People Through Categories That Serve Management: Employee, Manager, Customer-Facing Colleague, High Performer, Successor, Absence Case, Capability Gap, Or Headcount. These Categories Are Operationally Necessary, But They Are Incomplete.

A Role Describes What An Institution Requires. Human Experience Describes How A Person Encounters, Interprets, And Lives The Conditions Through Which That Requirement Is Pursued. When The Role Becomes The Only Lens, Context Becomes Invisible, Behaviour Is Interpreted Too Quickly, And Systems Can Produce Harm While Appearing Administratively Correct.

The Distinction At The Centre

The Employee Lens	The Human Experience Lens
Clarifies Duties, Standards, And Outputs.	Explores How Conditions Are Encountered And Interpreted.
Measures Attendance, Performance, And Capability.	Examines Context, Dignity, Voice, Trust, And Meaning.
Asks Whether The Role Requirement Was Met.	Asks What Human And Institutional Conditions Shaped The Outcome.
Supports Accountability And Operational Control.	Strengthens Judgment So Accountability Becomes Fairer And More Intelligent.

THE RE CULTURE™ DISTINCTION

The Employee Lens Organizes Work. The Human Experience Lens Helps The Institution Understand The Reality Through Which Work Becomes Possible.

The Re Culture™ Definition Of Human Experience

FOUNDATIONAL DEFINITION

Human Experience Is The Lived And Interpreted Reality Created Where A Person's Context, Relationships, Cultural Conditions, And Institutional Systems Meet.

This Definition Contains Four Essential Commitments. Experience Is Lived, Because It Occurs In Real Human Moments. It Is Interpreted, Because The Same Decision Can Carry Different Meaning Across Different Histories And Conditions. It Is Relational, Because Leadership And Colleague Interaction Shape What Systems Become In Practice. It Is Institutional, Because

Policies, Structures, Measures, And Resource Choices Organize The Conditions In Which People Act.

What Human Experience Is Not

- It Is Not A Softer Name For Employee Engagement.
- It Is Not An Invitation To Remove Standards Or Excuse Every Behaviour.
- It Is Not A Collection Of Benefits, Events, Or Well-Being Campaigns.
- It Is Not The Private Life Of The Individual Made Available To The Organization.
- It Is Not A Leadership Performance Designed To Appear Empathetic.

Six Constitutional Principles

1. Human Experience Before Management Interpretation

Before A Person Is Reduced To A Category, Score, Case, Or Outcome, The Institution Must Recognize That A Human Reality Exists Behind The Available Data.

2. Context Without The Loss Of Accountability

Context Does Not Cancel Standards. It Improves The Quality Of Judgment By Distinguishing Constraint, Capability, Conduct, Intention, And Temporary Disruption.

3. Dignity As An Institutional Condition

Dignity Must Not Depend On Rank, Performance Continuity, Agreement, Or Personal Similarity. It Is Expressed Through Fair Process, Respectful Interaction, Proportionate Response, And The Protection Of Human Worth.

4. Leadership As A Daily Human Experience

Leadership Is Experienced Less Through Statements Than Through Listening, Explanation, Consistency, Decisions, Corrections, Recognition, And The Use Of Power In Ordinary Moments.

5. Capability As A Human-System Outcome

Knowledge And Skill Become Reliable Capability Only When People Can Ask, Practise, Reflect, Report, Learn, And Contribute Within Conditions That Support Psychological And Operational Safety.

6. Measurement With Meaning

Metrics Are Signals, Not Complete Explanations. Institutions Must Interpret Quantitative Evidence Alongside Context, Pattern, Voice, Experience, And Consequence.

The Five Human Experience Domains

The Framework Organizes Human Experience Through Five Interdependent Domains. No Domain Is Sufficient Alone. The Institutional Task Is To Understand Their Relationship.

Domain	Institutional Meaning	Governing Question
Human Reality	The Person's Legitimate Context, Identity, Capacity, Vulnerability, Aspiration, And Lived Circumstances.	*What Human Reality May Be Missing From The Available Explanation?*
Relational Experience	The Quality Of Interaction Through Which Authority, Trust, Voice, Recognition, Conflict, And Support Are Experienced.	*How Is Leadership Being Experienced In This Moment?*
Cultural Conditions	The Shared Norms That Determine What Can Be Said, Questioned, Learned, Reported, Challenged, Or Admitted Without Unreasonable Cost.	*What Behaviour Does The Culture Reward, Silence, Or Make Unsafe?*
Institutional Design	The Policies, Structures, Schedules, Measures, Technologies, Incentives, And Processes That Organize Everyday Experience.	*What Experience Is This System Predictably Producing?*
Capability And Contribution	The Conditions Through Which Knowledge, Judgment, Energy, Learning, And Meaning Become Sustainable Contribution.	*What Must Be True For People To Contribute Well And Continue Developing?*

SYSTEMIC READING

A Performance Concern May Appear In The Capability Domain While Its Strongest Cause Sits In Institutional Design, Cultural Conditions, Or Relational Experience.

The Human Experience Interpretation Cycle

The Interpretation Cycle Converts The Framework From Philosophy Into Disciplined Institutional Practice. It Is A Repeatable Sequence For Decisions, Concerns, Change, Capability, And Daily Leadership.

1. Observe — Notice Behaviour, Patterns, Signals, And Consequences Without Immediate Reduction To A Label.

2. Understand — Seek Relevant Context Through Respectful Inquiry, Evidence, And Multiple Perspectives.
3. Interpret — Examine The Interaction Between Human Reality, Relationships, Culture, Systems, And Capability.
4. Design — Shape A Proportionate Response That Protects Dignity, Standards, Fairness, And Operational Purpose.
5. Enable — Provide The Clarity, Resources, Authority, Support, Learning, Or Adjustment Needed For Responsible Action.
6. Reflect — Review What Changed, What Remained Invisible, And What The Institution Must Learn Or Redesign.

Interpretation Safeguards

- Ask Only For Context That Is Relevant And Proportionate.
- Protect Privacy And Avoid Informal Diagnosis.
- Apply Standards Consistently While Allowing Legitimate Difference.
- Document Decisions Where Fairness, Risk, Or Precedent Requires It.
- Escalate Clinical, Legal, Safeguarding, Or Specialist Matters To Qualified Functions.

Framework In Practice

Application	Human Experience Reading
Performance	Move From Immediate Judgment To A Contextual Reading Of Standard, Capability, Conduct, Constraint, And System Conditions.
Change	Evaluate Not Only The Intended Business Outcome, But Also The Experience Created Through Timing, Explanation, Voice, Transition, And Loss.
Learning	Design For Questions, Practice, Feedback, Psychological Safety, Transfer, And Manager Reinforcement Rather Than Course Completion Alone.
Scheduling And Work Design	Examine How Predictability, Flexibility, Workload, Autonomy, Recovery, And Fairness Affect Both Human Sustainability And Operational Reliability.
Technology	Assess What The Technology Makes Easier, What It Makes Invisible, Who Carries New Burden, And How Human Judgment Is Preserved.
Leadership	Read Authority Through The Experience It Produces: Clarity, Trust, Fear, Voice, Consistency, Dependency, Learning, Or Dignity.

The Human Experience Decision Screen

Before A Material People Decision Is Finalized, Leadership Can Apply The Following Seven Questions. The Screen Is Not A Substitute For Professional Or Legal Review; It Is A Discipline For Improving Institutional Judgment.

- **Purpose** — What Legitimate Institutional Purpose Must This Decision Serve?
- **Reality** — What Relevant Human Context Is Known, Unknown, Or Being Assumed?
- **Dignity** — Could The Process Or Communication Unnecessarily Diminish Human Worth?
- **Voice** — Has The Person Or Affected Group Had A Fair Opportunity To Be Heard?
- **Fairness** — Is The Response Consistent, Proportionate, And Explainable?
- **Capability** — What Conditions, Support, Or Learning Could Improve Responsible Contribution?
- **Consequence** — What Experience And Cultural Signal Will This Decision Create Beyond The Immediate Case?

Human Experience Institutional Maturity

The Maturity Model Describes How Institutions Progress From Isolated Awareness To Embedded Human-System Practice. It Is Developmental, Not A Marketing Badge.

Level	Position	Characteristic	Development Priority
1	**Role-Centred**	People Are Primarily Understood Through Compliance, Output, And Position.	Begin Listening To Experience And Identifying Repeating Human Consequences.
2	**People-Aware**	Leaders Recognize Human Factors, But Practice Depends On Individual Goodwill.	Create Shared Language, Minimum Principles, And Manager Capability.
3	**Context-Responsive**	Relevant Context Regularly Informs Decisions Without Removing Standards.	Connect Case Learning To Policy, Process, And Work Design.
4	**Human-Systemic**	Experience Is Examined Across Relationships, Culture, Systems, And Capability.	Use Cross-Functional Evidence And Redesign Recurring Conditions.
5	**Institutionally Embedded**	Human Experience Shapes Governance, Leadership, Measurement, Design, And Learning.	Maintain Transparency, Independent Challenge, Evidence, And Renewal.

Evidence And Learning Architecture

Human Experience Must Not Become A Claim That Cannot Be Examined. The Framework Requires Multiple Forms Of Evidence Because No Single Metric Can Represent A Human System.

- **Quantitative Signals** — Attendance, Retention, Safety, Quality, Workload, Mobility, Learning, Service, Performance, And Other Operational Patterns.
- **Human Voice** — Interviews, Listening Conversations, Open Text, Narratives, Employee Forums, And Community Dialogue.

- **Relational Observation** — The Quality Of Correction, Explanation, Escalation, Recognition, Conflict, Learning, And Everyday Leadership Interaction.
- **System Review** — Policies, Processes, Technology, Incentives, Schedules, Decision Rights, And The Distribution Of Burden Or Opportunity.
- **Outcome Reflection** — Whether The Intervention Improved Dignity, Fairness, Capability, Trust, Contribution, And The Intended Institutional Purpose.

Responsible Measurement Principles

- Do Not Collect Human Data Without A Defined Purpose And Appropriate Protection.
- Do Not Treat A Score As A Complete Explanation.
- Do Not Use Voice Mechanisms That Create Exposure Without Response.
- Report Uncertainty, Difference, And Unintended Consequences.
- Measure The Experience Produced By Systems, Not Only Individual Attitude.

Governance And Ethical Boundaries

A Human-Centered Framework Can Cause Harm If It Becomes A Permission To Intrude, Diagnose, Manipulate Emotion, Or Place Responsibility For System Failure Back Onto Individuals. Re Culture™ Therefore Establishes The Following Boundaries.

- Human Experience Inquiry Must Remain Relevant, Voluntary Where Appropriate, And Proportionate.
- Personal Disclosure Must Never Become The Price Of Fair Treatment.
- Leaders Must Not Present Themselves As Clinicians, Counsellors, Or Investigators Beyond Their Competence.
- The Language Of Care Must Not Be Used To Avoid Structural Correction.
- Human-Centered Practice Must Include Standards, Consistency, Due Process, And Institutional Accountability.
- The Framework Must Remain Open To Evidence, Criticism, Cultural Difference, And Revision.

ETHICAL TEST

If A Human Experience Practice Increases Institutional Knowledge While Reducing A Person's Safety, Privacy, Voice, Or Dignity, The Practice Requires Redesign.

Foundational Implementation Pathway

The Framework Should Enter An Institution Through Learning And Evidence, Not Through Immediate Large-Scale Declaration. A Responsible First Cycle Can Be Completed Through Five Stages.

1. Establish A Small Cross-Functional Stewardship Group With Clear Ethical Responsibility.
2. Select One Bounded Human Experience Question Connected To A Real Operational Need.
3. Use The Five Domains And Interpretation Cycle To Examine Existing Evidence And Lived Experience.
4. Design One Proportionate Intervention With Explicit Human And Institutional Outcomes.
5. Review Consequences, Publish Learning Internally, And Decide Whether To Refine, Stop, Or Expand.

Suggested First Applications

- The Experience Of Performance Correction.
- Frontline Scheduling And Predictability.
- Manager Capability To Hold Contextual Conversations.
- The Human Experience Of Organizational Change.
- Learning Transfer From Formal Training Into Daily Work.

Validation Roadmap (Version 1.0 Basis Retained)

Institutional Credibility Requires The Framework To Progress From Foundational Philosophy To Tested Practice. Re Culture™ Treats The Original Version 1.0 As The Beginning Of A Transparent Development Programme; Version 1.2 Retains This Roadmap.

Development Stage	Purpose
Conceptual Review	Invite Practitioners, Researchers, And Leaders To Challenge Definitions, Domains, Principles, And Boundaries.
Practice Pilots	Apply The Framework To Bounded Organizational Questions And Document Decisions, Experience, And Outcomes.
Cross-Context Learning	Examine Its Use Across Roles, Cultures, Sectors, And Levels Of Authority.
Instrument Development	Develop Diagnostic Prompts And Measures Only Where Their Purpose, Reliability, Ethics, And Limits Can Be Demonstrated.
Independent Critique	Seek External Review And Publish Material Revisions, Limitations, And Learning.
Versioned Renewal	Maintain A Visible Record Of Changes So Institutional Memory Is Preserved.

Research Foundations And Intellectual Context

The Re Culture™ Human Experience Framework Is An Original Institutional Architecture Informed By Established Conversations In Psychological Safety, Human Motivation, Mental Health At Work, Organizational Learning, Dignity, And Human-Centered Work Design. These Sources Inform The Intellectual Context; They Do Not Replace The Framework's Distinct Proposition, Domains, Or Interpretation Cycle.

- Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological Safety And Learning Behavior In Work Teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383.
- Ryan, R. M., And Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-Determination Theory And The Facilitation Of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, And Well-Being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78.
- World Health Organization. (2022). Guidelines On Mental Health At Work.
- World Health Organization And International Labour Organization. (2022). Mental Health At Work: Policy Brief.
- International Labour Organization. (2019). Work For A Brighter Future: Global Commission On The Future Of Work.

Institutional Position And Authorship

Re Culture™ Is A Human-Centered Digital Institution Founded By Mazhar Ul Haq. Its Work Examines Human Experience Across Leadership, Organizational Culture, People Capability, Trust, And Transformation.

This Framework Was Founded, Conceived And Developed By Mazhar Ul Haq As Part Of The Ongoing Institutional Development Of Re Culture™. It Is Intended To Support Responsible Dialogue, Practice, Research, And Future Independent Review.

Version Record

Version	Date	Institutional Development
1.0	27 July 2026	Foundational Architecture Established: Governing Proposition, Definition, Principles, Five Domains, Interpretation Cycle, Decision Screen, Maturity Model, Evidence Architecture, Governance Boundaries, And Validation Roadmap.

Version	Date	Institutional Development
1.2	4 August 2026	Authorized Controlled Correction: CC BY 4.0 Selected By Mazhar Ul Haq; Edmondson Issue, Citation, AI-Assistance Disclosure And Evidence Boundary Corrected. Published And Publicly Verified Under DOI 10.5281/zenodo.21792507. Version 1.0 Remains Preserved Under DOI 10.5281/zenodo.21629068.

Publication Record

Publication Status: Version 1.2 Authorized Controlled Correction; CC BY 4.0; Published And Publicly Verified Under DOI 10.5281/zenodo.21792507

First Institutional Publication: 27 July 2026

Publisher: Re Culture™

Canonical Home: https://reculture.global/frameworks/human-experience-framework/

Document Type: Foundational Framework

Recommended Citation

Ul Haq, Mazhar. Re Culture™ Human Experience Framework: Foundational Architecture. Version 1.2, Authorized Controlled Correction. Re Culture™, 2026. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21792507

Rights And Responsible Use

© 2026 Mazhar Ul Haq And Re Culture™. Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0): https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Reuse, Adaptation And Commercial Use Are Permitted Under The Licence With Appropriate Attribution, A Link To The Licence And An Indication Of Changes. No Endorsement, Accreditation, Certification Or Authorization By Re Culture™ Is Implied.

Contact

Institutional Email: contact@reculture.global

Website: https://reculture.global

Founder: Mazhar Ul Haq

A Framework Must Not Merely Describe A More Human Institution.

It Must Help The Institution Recognize The Experience It Is Already Creating — And Accept Responsibility For What That Experience Makes Possible.

| A Re Culture™ Institutional Foundation |

Re Culture™ | Human Experience Review | People Capability Re-Imagined

Technology Assistance, Evidence Boundary And Correction Record

Re Culture™ Is Founder-Conceived, Human-Governed And AI-Assisted. Artificial Intelligence Assisted Research Organization, Draft And Editorial Development, Visual Development, Quality Checks And Digital Implementation. AI Is Not An Evidence Source. Mazhar Ul Haq Retains Final Responsibility For Meaning, Accuracy, Source Verification, Originality And Publication Decisions.

This Is A Conceptual And Practice Framework. Repository Deposit And DOI Assignment Do Not Constitute Peer Review, Accreditation, Certification, Empirical Validation Or Proof Of Organizational Outcomes.

Controlled Corrections In Version 1.2: Edmondson (1999) Is Corrected To 44(2); The Citation Is Reconciled With DOI 10.5281/zenodo.21792507; Technology-Assistance And Evidence-Boundary Disclosures Are Added; And CC BY 4.0 Was Authorized By Mazhar Ul Haq On 4 August 2026. The Published File, Metadata, Licence, Author Identifier, Publication Date, Version, And Relation To Version 1.0 Were Checked Against The Zenodo Record. Version 1.0 Remains Preserved Under DOI 10.5281/zenodo.21629068.